SystemC/TLM and Language Standards (C++11/14)

Ralph Görgen, OFFIS





Presentation Copyright Permission

 A non-exclusive, irrevocable, royalty-free copyright permission is granted by OFFIS e.V. to use this material in developing all future revisions and editions of the resulting draft and approved Accellera Systems Initiative SystemC standard, and in derivative works based on this standard.





C++ Language Development

New C++ Standards C++11 and C++14

- C++11
 - Many new features and improvements
- C++14
 - Slight improvements and fixes for C++11
- Both fully backwards compatible
 - (except auto storage class specifier)





Modern C++

- Improved productivity
 - auto; lambdas; range-based for; ...
- Improved readability/maintainability
 - User defined literals; nullptr; inline member initialisation; ...
- Improved safety
 - Strongly typed enums; delete, default, override, final, ...
 - shared_ptr, unique_ptr, ...
- New features
 - Variadic templates; constexpr; multi-thread + memory model;
 RValue reference and move; ...
- → Better software quality, performance and safety





C++ Basis for SystemC

SystemC LRM (IEEE 1666-2011):

"[…]

This standard shall be used in conjunction with the following publications:

- ISO/IEC 14882:2003, Programming Languages—C++ [...]"
- → Combination of SystemC and Modern C++?





Question:

How can ...

- SystemC and TLM designers and
- Methodology library providers

... benefit from Modern C++?





- Modern C++ for modelling
- Modern C++ for standard and API extensions
- Modern C++ for PoC library implementation
- Modern C++ for synthesis





- Modern C++ for modelling
- Modern C++ for standard and API extensions
- Modern C++ for PoC library implementation
- Modern C++ for synthesis





Example: Auto und range-based for

```
for ( auto&& it : status_v_ ) { ...
```





Example: Inline initialization and Lambda

```
SC_MODULE(adder)
{
    sc_in<uint8_t> a{"a"}, b{"b"};
    sc_out<sc_uint<9> > sum{"sum"};
    SC_CTOR(adder)
    {
        auto ph =
            sc_spawn([&](){ for(;;){ wait(a|b); sum = a + b }});
    }
};
```

Thanks to Roman Popov and David Black





Modern C++ for Modelling

- Allow designer to use Modern C++
- In principle no problem
- Depends on compiler used
- Limited interoperability
 - Compiler versions in EDA tools
- Is this true?
- Any hidden traps?
- Should we force support via IEEE 1666?





- Modern C++ for modelling
- Modern C++ for standard and API extensions
- Modern C++ for PoC library implementation
- Modern C++ for synthesis





Example: std::initializer_list in sc_vector

Additional Constructor for sc_vector

```
template < typename T >
class sc_vector : //...
{
    sc_vector( std::initializer_list< T* > elements );
    // ...
```

Initialization with list of element pointers

```
sc_core::sc_vector< my_mod_t > v =
    { new my_mod_t("ab"), new my_mod_t("cd,, 1)
    , new my_mod_t("ef", 11, 22) };
```





Example: Simplified Syntax for Thread Creation with Lambda

```
#define ASSIGN(sensitive, equation) \
    assigns.push_back(sc_spawn( \
        [&](){ for(;;){ wait(sensitive); equation }})

ASSIGN( a_sig|b_sig, y_sig = a_sig + b_sig; );

ASSIGN( clk.pos(), y_sig = a_sig + b_sig; );

// sc_method ( sensitivity_list , process_handle )
sc_method sum ( {a,b,c} , [&]() { sum = a + b + c; } };);
```

Thanks to David Black and Roman Popov





Example: Inline binding

```
#define SC CTOR(user module name) \
  typedef std::function<void(user mod n& self) > bind f t; \
  typedef user mod n SC CURRENT USER MODULE; \
 user mod n (sc module name, bind f t bindf= 0) \
  { if (bindf) { bindf(*this); }
#define BIND INST(module type) \
  [&] (module type& i)
SC MODULE (adder test)
  sc signal<uint8 t> a{"a"}, b{"b"};
 sc signal<sc uint<9>> sum{"sum"};
 adder add inst{"add inst", BIND INST(adder)
      { i.a(a); i.b(b); i.sum(sum); }};
```

Thanks to Roman Popov





Example: Move for SystemC Objects

- Implement move semantics for SystemC objects
- Allows much more freedom in handling noncopyable objects
- Requires some discussion about semantics

```
class sc_object {
    ...
    // Move COnstructor
    sc_object( sc_object&& );
    ...
```





Examples: User Defined Literals

Literals for sc_time, ...

```
sc_time operator""_ns(int t) // user defined
{ return sc_time(t,SC_NS); }
wait(10.5 ns);
```





Modern C++ for Standard and API Extensions

- Forces compiler support for C++14 for all SystemC
 - In EDA tools?
- Reference to ISO C++14 Standard in IEEE 1666?
- How to handle older compilers?
 - Opt-Out of Modern C++ features?
 - Recommend SystemC 2.3?
- Most important API extensions?
 - Proposals welcome as soon as questions above are solved
 - Discussion in LWG and Accellera Forum





- Modern C++ for modelling
- Modern C++ for standard and API extensions
- Modern C++ for PoC library implementation
- Modern C++ for synthesis





Modern C++ for PoC library implementation

- Allow Modern C++ for implementation of future SystemC library elements (not API relevant)?
- Refactoring of SystemC library?
 - auto, range-based for, ...
 - Add overrides, final, delete, ...
 - Generic smart pointers
 - Multi-threading
- Requires Modern C++ compiler support for all SystemC
 - No Opt-Out possible





- Modern C++ for modelling
- Modern C++ for standard and API extensions
- Modern C++ for PoC library implementation
- Modern C++ for synthesis





Modern C++ for Synthesis

- Extension of Synthesis Subset for Modern C++
- Draft available in SystemC SWG
 - sc_vector, std::array, ...
 - constexpr
 - User-defined literals, binary literals

Synthesis Subset "beyond" SystemC Standard?





Compiler Overview for C++14

• GCC:

- Starts with GCC 4.4
- Most C++11 features in GCC 4.7
- Most C++14 features in GCC 4.9
 - Relaxed constexpr in GCC 5.0

Clang

- Many features in Clang 2.9 (e.g. auto)
- Most features in Clang 3.1 (e.g. lambda)
- All features in Clang 3.3
- MS Visual C++
 - Starts with MSVC 2010
 - Most features in MSVC 2013
 - Nearly all in MSVC 2015





References

- What C++011 means to SystemC?
 - David Black, NASCUG 2012
 - http://nascug.org/events/17th/black cpp11 2 27 2012.pdf
- Problems with SystemC syntax
 - Roman Popov, Accellera Forum
 - forums.accellera.org/topic/5472-problems-with-systemcsyntax-improvment-request



